

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,407	04/14/2005	Manfred Roessler	10191/3926	8244
· 26646 KENYON & K	WAY		EXAMINER	
ONE BROADY			MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN	
NEW YORK, N	NY 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
·		<u> </u>		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/531,407	ROESSLER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Trevor McGraw	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 O	<u>ctober 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	Ne)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/17/2006</u> .	6) Other:	αιστι Αμμποαιίστ				

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/531,407 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

Examiner acknowledges the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed 10/17/2006 that includes translations of all foreign patent documents. Examiner is considering the IDS filed 10/17/2006.

Rejection under 35 USC § 103

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner brings to Applicant's attention that regardless if the armature face of Gray is milled or processed, it still has dome shaped areas that have raised and recessed areas but lack a coating on the domes shaped raised and recessed areas in helping to prevent hydraulic locking that allows fluid to be present in the raised and recessed areas. A new grounds of rejection is made over Gray (US 4,245,789) as previously cited in view of Maier et al. (US 5,732,888).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray (US 4,245,789) in view of Maier et al. (US 5,732,888).

In regard to claims 7-12, Gray teaches a fuel injector with a solenoid assembly (14), an armature (73) acted upon by a spring (77) in the closing direction, a valve needle (72) that is connected to the armature (73) by force locking where a valve closure member (Figure 1) is formed which forms a sealing seat with a valve needle surface (Figure 1) where the armature stop face (73s) strikes against a stop face (63s) of an inner pole (63) where the surface structure of the armature stop face (73s) and the stop face of the inner pole (63s) have raised and recessed dome shaped areas (Figure 2) at a height difference of 0.4 µm to 0.8 µm for the inner pole stop face (63s) and 0.2 μm to 0.3 μm for the armature stop face (73s). However, Gray fails to teach an armature stop face (73s) being coated with a plurality of chromium layers where the height difference between the raised and recessed dome shaped areas are in a height difference between 5 µm to 10 µm. On the other hand, Maier et al. teaches that it is old and well known in the art to have a coating used with an armature to provide a wear resistance medium for the armature. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to modify the armature stop face of Gray to be made with a chromium coating as taught by Maier et al. to provide for a high resistant coating with a multiple layer thickness of raised and recessed dome shaped areas and a height difference between 5 µm and 10 µm so as to ensure that the removal of the raised areas as a result of operational wear is less than the height difference between the raised and recessed areas to prevent an increase in the percentage of true contact area between the stop face surfaces (63s and 73s).

Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Maier et al. (US 6,824,084), Sumida et al. (US 6,024,302).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trevor McGraw whose telephone number is (571) 272-7375. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (2nd & 4th Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trevor McGraw Art U/hit 3752

TEN